

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,499	GATHERER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: PENDING

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

 (2) Rodney Anderson(Reg# 31939).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2004
Time: 10:35
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1, 3-14

Claims discussed:

1, 3-14

Prior art documents discussed:


US Patent# 6,754,290; RECONFIGURABLE SIGNAL PROCESSOR FOR
 CHANNEL CODING & DECODING IN LOW SNR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS (Halter et al IEEE 1998)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The meaning of the use of prologs and the novelty of the invention over US Patent# 6,754,290. The use of parallelism and pipelining was discussed. The difference between prologs in relation to windowing was discussed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)